

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Edward Morgan 108 South Woodlawn Ave Aldan, PA 19018		DEFENDANTS Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company One Hartford Plaza Hartford, CT 06155	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Delaware</u> <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i>		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Hartford</u> <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i>	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Linda M. Lopez, Law Office of Eric A. Shore 2 Penn Center, 1500 JFK Blvd, Suite 1240, Philadelphia PA 19102 Tel: 215-627-9999		Attorneys (<i>If Known</i>)	

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) <i>(For Diversity Cases Only)</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question <i>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</i>	Citizen of This State <input type="checkbox"/> 1	PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity <i>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</i>	Citizen of Another State <input type="checkbox"/> 2	PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 2 DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country <input type="checkbox"/> 3	PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 3 DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions .
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))
			IMMIGRATION	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File	

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (<i>Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity</i>): 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A).						
Brief description of cause: ERISA							

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	150000	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
-------------------------------------	---	------------------	--------	---	--	--	--

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
-------------------------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
6/17/2021	<i>Linda Lopez</i>						

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE
-----------	--------	--------------	-------	------------

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	:	CIVIL ACTION
Edward Morgan	:	
v.	:	
Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company	:	
	:	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (x)

6/17/2021	Linda M. Lopez	Edward Morgan
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
215-627-9999	215-944-6126	LindaL@ericshore.com
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 108 South Woodlawn Ave, Aldan PA 19018Address of Defendant: One Hartford Plaza, Hartford CT 06155Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 108 South Woodlawn Ave, Aldan PA 19018**RELATED CASE, IF ANY:**

Case Number: _____ Judge: _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when **Yes** is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 6/17/2021203391

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

CIVIL: (Place a ✓ in one category only)**A. Federal Question Cases:**

- 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
- 2. FELA
- 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury
- 4. Antitrust
- 5. Patent
- 6. Labor-Management Relations
- 7. Civil Rights
- 8. Habeas Corpus
- 9. Securities Act(s) Cases
- 10. Social Security Review Cases
- 11. All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify): _____

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

- 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
- 2. Airplane Personal Injury
- 3. Assault, Defamation
- 4. Marine Personal Injury
- 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
- 6. Other Personal Injury *(Please specify):* _____
- 7. Products Liability
- 8. Products Liability – Asbestos
- 9. All other Diversity Cases
(Please specify): _____

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)

I, Linda M. Lopez, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:

- Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;
- Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 6/17/2021203391

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EDWARD C. MORGAN,	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	NO.
v.	:	
	:	
HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, EDWARD C. MORGAN, by and through his attorney, Linda M. Lopez, Esq. of the Law Offices of Eric A. Shore, P.C., as and for his Complaint against Defendant, HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY, hereby avers as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, EDWARD C. MORGAN (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), was and still is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing at 108 South Woodlawn Ave, Aldan, Pennsylvania 19018.
2. Defendant, HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter "Defendant"), has corporate headquarters at One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06155-0001.

JURISDICTION

3. Jurisdiction of the Court is based upon 29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(e)(1) and 1132(f), which give the District Courts jurisdiction to hear civil actions brought to recover benefits due under the terms of an employee welfare benefit plan. Jurisdiction is also founded on 28 U.S.C. §

1331 because this action arises under 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et. seq. (Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, hereinafter “ERISA”).

4. Venue in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is appropriate because Defendant conducts business and is subject to personal jurisdiction in the judicial district and maintains contacts in the judicial district sufficient to subject it to personal jurisdiction.

5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1) and § 1391(c), this action is properly venued in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

FACTS

6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff was employed as a Service Technician for ADT, LLC, and at all times was a participant and/or beneficiary under the Long Term Disability (“LTD”) plan.

7. Plaintiff had a strong work history working for ADT, LLC prior to filing for LTD insurance benefits.

8. The LTD plan is an employee welfare benefit plan specifically covered under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A).

9. At all times material herein, Defendant made and/or participated in making all benefits decisions under the LTD plan.

10. During Plaintiff’s employment with ADT, LLC, Defendant issued a long term group disability income policy (hereinafter the “Policy”).

11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, said Policy of insurance was issued for the benefit of certain eligible employees in exchange for the payment of premiums by ADT, LLC and/or its employees.

12. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff was and is an employee eligible for long term disability benefits as an insured under the Policy.

13. Said Policy provided, among other things, that disability insurance benefit payments will be made to Plaintiff in the event that he becomes disabled as a result of injury or sickness. Under the Policy, disability is defined as follows:

From the date that you first became disabled and until monthly benefits are payable for 24 months you meet the test of disability on any day that: You cannot perform the material duties of your own occupation solely because of an illness, injury or disabling pregnancy-related condition; and Your earnings are 80% or less of your adjusted predisability earnings. After the first 24 months of your disability that monthly benefits are payable, you meet the plan's test of disability on any day you are unable to work at any reasonable occupation solely because of an illness, injury or disabling pregnancy-related condition.

14. Plaintiff stopped working on December 12, 2017.

15. Plaintiff applied and was approved by Defendant for Short Term Disability benefits from December 13, 2017 through June 17, 2018.

16. Plaintiff then applied and was approved by Defendant for LTD benefits beginning June 18, 2018.

17. Plaintiff collected LTD benefits through August 4, 2020, when he was denied by Defendant for allegedly failing to continue to meet the test of disability.

18. Plaintiff submitted a timely written appeal of that adverse determination.

19. On December 17, 2020, Defendant issued a final administrative denial of Plaintiff's claim for LTD benefits.

20. On January 28, 2021, Plaintiff submitted a request for reconsideration with new medical evidence from his treating physician.

21. Defendant notified Plaintiff via letter dated February 1, 2021 that his claim was referred to an appeal specialist for further review.

22. On February 3, 2021, only two days later and without conducting a proper and/or meaningful claim review, Defendant issued a decision that it had finished reviewing Plaintiff's request and denied his claim again.

23. As of August 5, 2020, Plaintiff's LTD coverage was in full force and effect and Plaintiff was an eligible employee.

24. From August 5, 2020 to the present, Plaintiff has been disabled within the meaning and pursuant to the terms of the Policy as he is unable to perform, on a sustained basis, either his own or any reasonable occupation, as that term is defined in the subject Policy.

25. Plaintiff's disability is caused by complications, impairments and symptoms from lumbar radiculopathy, left sacroiliac joint syndrome, multilevel degenerative disc disease with spondylosis, bilateral foraminal spinal stenosis with impingement, disc herniation with impingement, and sacroiliitis, with resulting symptoms of severe chronic daily low back pain, inability to sit for long periods, inability to stand or walk for long periods, muscle spasms, muscle weakness, and radicular pain with transitional movements such as lifting and bending.

26. Plaintiff cooperated with the Defendant in all respects, provided proper proof of loss in support of his claim, and otherwise complied with the terms and conditions of the Policy regarding the filing and maintenance of the claim.

27. Pursuant to the Policy, Defendant has been obligated to pay periodic payment of monthly long term disability benefits to Plaintiff since August 5, 2020.

28. Despite Plaintiff's continued total disability, Defendant has denied LTD insurance benefit payments to Plaintiff beyond August 5, 2020, and continues to refuse to pay said benefits pursuant to the Policy, although payment thereof has been duly demanded.

29. Said refusal on the part of the Defendant is a willful and wrongful breach of the Policy's terms and conditions.

30. Defendant afforded little weight to the opinions of Plaintiff's treating physicians who clearly opined that he has continuously been unable to work since December 13, 2017, due to his disabling conditions and complications from his impairments and medical conditions.

31. Defendant's denial of Plaintiff's disability insurance benefits is unreasonable and unsupported by substantial evidence and, as such, constitutes a breach of fiduciary duty.

32. Defendant's unreasonable and unsupported denial of Plaintiff's disability insurance benefits is evidenced by the number of procedural irregularities in its claim handling, including but not limited to: the failure to consider the impact of Plaintiff's physical and mental conditions and limitations on his ability to perform all of the essential duties of his regular occupation or any occupation; the refusal to consider Plaintiff's credible subjective complaints about his inability to work; the reliance upon a selective review of medical records to reach a result oriented claim determination; the failure to utilize appropriately qualified and unbiased medical personnel to reach decisions and/or render opinions on levels of impairment; the biased and flawed vocational consideration on Plaintiff's claim; the failure to perform a fair and neutral evaluation of Plaintiff's medical condition and associated restrictions and limitations; and other biased claim handling.

33. Defendant's claim handling resulted in numerous violations of 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1 et. seq.

34. Defendant's claim handling failed to provide Plaintiff with a full and fair review of his claim.

35. Defendant's claim handling demonstrates a bias against Plaintiff's claim due to its impact on Defendant's financial situation and frustrated Plaintiff from receiving a full and fair review of his claim.

36. Plaintiff has attempted to exhaust all administrative appeals and remedies to the extent they exist pursuant to the conditions of the employee benefit plan.

37. By reason of the foregoing claim conduct, Defendant failed, by operation of law, to establish and follow reasonable claim procedures that would yield a decision on the merits of his claim pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503(1).

38. Because Defendant failed to satisfy the minimal procedural safeguards set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503(1), Defendant's adverse benefit determination is not entitled to any judicial deference.

39. Defendant willfully failed to comply with ERISA regulations.

40. Monthly disability insurance benefit payments to Plaintiff are continuing to be due and payable by Defendant with the passage of each month.

41. Plaintiff is entitled to receive the total lifetime benefit of the plan discounted to present value, due to Defendant's arbitrary and capricious decision to deny Plaintiff's benefits.

42. Plaintiff is entitled to receive, in addition to the benefits due under the plan of insurance, reimbursement for reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 1132(g).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Edward C. Morgan, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendant together for:

A. Payment of all benefits in arrears due and owing since the denial of benefits, plus interest;

- B. The total lifetime benefit under the plans discounted to present value;
- C. Attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest and delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

By:



Linda M. Lopez, Esq.
Attorney I.D. No. 203391
LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.
1500 John F. Kennedy Blvd, Suite 1240
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 627-9999
Attorney for Plaintiff, Edward C. Morgan

Date: 6/17/2021